

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/692,005	10/22/2003	Jason A. Sullivan	11072.8	8524	
7:	590 08/12/2005		EXAMINER		
KIRTON & McCONKIE 1800 Eagle Gate Tower			CHANG, YEAN HSI		
60 East South Temple			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Salt Lake City, UT 84111			2835		
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/200:	DATE MAILED: 08/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Н	·P			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7			
Office Action Summary		10/692,005	SULLIVAN, JASON A.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Yean-Hsi Chang	2835				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nasions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on 22 October 2003.					
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for		ers, prosecution as to the merits is				
·	closed in accordance with the practice						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the appl	lication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) 18 is/are objected to.		•				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
	The drawing(s) filed on 22 October 2003		bjected to by the Examiner.				
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A the priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachmer		_					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		Summary (PTO-413) S)/Mail Date				
3) N Infor	ce of Drattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/9/04 & 3/16/05</u> .	/	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 9 and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities: The "an external object" in claims 9 and 10 seem referring to the same external object claimed in claim 1 from which they depend, should not use an "an" as article. A "the" or "a" is suggested. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 6-7, 9-14 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harshberger et al. (US 5,311,397).

Harshberger teaches a robust customizable computing system comprising: a processing control unit (22, fig. 1), an external object (24), and means (166) for operably connecting said processing control unit to said external object, said processing control unit introducing intelligence into said external object and causing said external object to perform smart functions (claim 1); wherein said processing control unit comprises: an

encasement module (20) comprising: a main support chassis (20) having a plurality of wall supports (for example, 30 and 32) and a plurality of junction centers (for example, at locations of 50 and 52) containing means (50 and 52) for supporting a computer component (fig. 9) therein, a dynamic back plane (36) that provides support for connecting peripheral and other computing components (see fig. 5, and col. 3, line 62 through col. 4, line 4) directly to a system bus without requiring an interface, means for enclosing said main support chassis (fig. 1) and providing access (40) to an interior portion of said encasement module, one or more computer processing components disposed within said junction centers of said encasement module (see fig. 9), and means (46 and 48) for cooling said interior portion of said encasement module (claim 2); wherein said means for operably connecting comprises a direct connection via a universal port (166) formed in a dynamic back plane (118) on said processing control unit (claim 6); wherein said means for operably connecting comprises a wired connection (fig. 10) that connects to a port (166) formed within said processing control unit (claim 7); wherein said means for operably connecting comprises means (218) for engaging an external object (claim 9); wherein said means for engaging an external object comprises a slide receiver (54) formed on said processing control unit that functions to receive a matching insert located on the external object (claim 10); wherein said external object is selected from the group consisting of any object, system, device, apparatus, component, structure, component of a structure, item of manufacture, and inanimate object (see fig. 1) (claim 11); wherein said external object comprises a workstation computer having snap-on peripheral devices that operably connect to said

processing control unit (referring fig. 15, consider POS #1 as the processing control unit, and 24 of a workstation computer at file server as the external object having a snap-on peripheral 26) (claim 12); wherein said external object comprises circuitry (214), such that said processing control unit operably connects to said circuitry (see fig. 13) (claim 13); wherein said processing control unit is non-peripheral based (see col. 3, lines22-28) (claim 14); and a method for introducing intelligence into the external object being disclosed in the specification (claims 16-17).

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-5 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jobs et al. (US 6,819,550 B2).

Jobs teaches a robust customizable computing system comprising: a processing control unit (159, fig. 1E; or 3306, fig.33B), an external object (161; or 3310, fig. 33B) physically supported by said processing control unit, and means (160; or 3302, fig. 33B) for operably connecting said processing control unit to said external object, said processing control unit introducing intelligence into said external object and causing said external object to perform smart functions (claims 1 and 15); wherein said means

for operably connecting comprises means for physically coupling said processing control unit to said external object, such that said processing control unit functions as a load bearing component (see fig. 33B) (claim 3); at least one other processing control unit (153) operably connected to said external object (see fig. 1E) (claim 4); and wherein said processing control unit comprises a load bearing structure (3318B) (claim 5).

6. Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dickie (US 2004/0268005 A1).

Dickie teaches a robust customizable computing system (100, fig. 1) comprising: a processing control unit (102), an external object (104), and means (420) for operably connecting said processing control unit to said external object (claim 1); and wherein said means for operably connecting said processing control unit to said external object comprises a wireless connection (the connection may be wireless as stated in [0005]) (claim 8).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 18 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/692,005

Page 6

Art Unit: 2835

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The best prior art of record, Harshberger et al. (US 5,311,397), Jobs et al. (US 6,819,550 B2), and Dickie (US 2004/0268005 A1), taken alone or in combination, fails to teach or fairly suggest a method for introducing intelligence into an external object from a processing control unit comprising a non-peripherals-based encasement module comprising a tri-board electrical printed circuit board configuration removably secured within said encasement module as set forth in claim 18.

Correspondence

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yean-Hsi Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-2038. The examiner can normally be reached on 07:30 - 16:00, Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Art Unit phone number is (571) 272-2800, ext. 35. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-8558.

Yean-Hsi Chang Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2835 August 11, 2005

> YEAN-HSI CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER